

Belfast Local Development Plan

Addendum May 2022 - Appendix 2 Sustainability Appraisal of the Draft Plan Strategy 2035 Incorporating Strategic Environmental Assessment



Appendix 2

Detailed Sustainability Appraisal

Contents

| 1. | Sustainability Objectives | 6 |
|----|--|------|
| | Figure 1: SA Objectives | 6 |
| 2. | Appraisal scoring methodologies & formulas | 7 |
| | Figure 2: SA Appraisal Key | 7 |
| | Figure 3: Policy scoring ranges | 7 |
| | Figure 4: Policy scoring formula | 7 |
| | Figure 5: Updated total effects scoring formula | 8 |
| 3. | Sustainability appraisal | .10 |
| | Table A2: Narrative Table B.1A – Consideration of reasonable alternatives. | . 10 |
| | Table A3: SA assessment matrix for new policy SP1A | .12 |
| | Table A4: Updated Total Strategic Policy scores | . 15 |
| | Table A5: Updated All Policy Scores table | . 16 |
| | Table A6: Updated Total Effects of the Strategic Policies on SA Objectives . | . 17 |
| | Table A7: Updated Total Effects of the LDP | . 18 |

1. Sustainability Objectives

Figure 1: SA Objectives

| Theme | SA OBJECTIVES |
|---------------|---|
| | Reduce deprivation and encourage an inclusive and equal society |
| | 2. Improve health and wellbeing for an improved quality of life. |
| | 3. To provide opportunity for good quality housing and enable people to meet their housing needs |
| Social | 4. Increase community safety by supporting the reduction of crime and antisocial behaviour |
| | 5. To improve skills & education of residents by providing high quality, accessible lifelong learning opportunities |
| | 6. Retain and enhance access to local services and facilities |
| | 7. To ensure local residents have access to employment opportunities |
| Economic | 8. Support economic development of Belfast as a competitive place& contribute to its role as a regional economic driver |
| Eco | Promote an integrated transport system and encourage sustainable travel |
| | 10.Maintain and enhance biodiversity assets, protect habitats and species |
| | 11.Protect and enhance soil quality |
| | 12.Protect, enhance & manage the quality of the built & historic environment |
| | 13.Protect, maintain & enhance the quality of Belfast's distinctive landscape & geodiversity. |
| Environmental | 14.Protect and enhance open space and natural greenspace including Belfast's countryside asset |
| viro | 15.Promote the sustainable management of waste |
| ᇤ | 16.Promote the quality and efficient use of water resources |
| | 17.Reduce air pollution and ensure continued improvements to air quality |
| | 18. Support the transition to a Low Carbon Economy |
| | 19. Support the adaptation to Climate Change and effectively manage flood risk |

2. Appraisal scoring methodologies & formulas

Figure 2: SA Appraisal Key (applicable to all tables)

| | - | ? | +/- | 0 | + | ++ |
|-------------|----------|---------|---------|--------------|----------|-------------|
| Significant | Minor | Unknown | Mixed | No Effect or | Minor | Significant |
| Negative | Negative | Effect | Effects | Relationship | Positive | Positive |

Figure 3: Policy scoring ranges (to calculate total scores in Tables A3, A4, A6 & A7)

| | Effect Scoring: Applicable to all Effects | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|---|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | - | ? | +/- | 0 | + | ++ | | | |
| Likely Effect | Significant Negative | Minor Negative | Unknown Effect | Mixed Effects | No Effect or Relationship | Minor Positive | Significant Positive | | | |
| Ranking Score | -2 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | | | |

Figure 4: Policy scoring formula (to calculate what effect the total policy score equates to in Tables A3 & A4)

| Individual Policy - Scoring Formula & Range | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|--|---|-----------|-------|------------|----------|--|
| FORMULA | 19 Objectives multiply by 2 | | | = | Minor I | Range | Significa | nt Range | |
| Negative scoring | -19 x 2 | | | = | -1 to -19 | - | -20 to -38 | | |
| Positive scoring | 19 x 2 | | | = | 1 to 19 | + | 20 to 38 | ++ | |
| Unknown, mixed, no effect | ? +/- 0 | | | = | 0 score | | | | |

Figure 5: Updated total effects scoring formula (to calculate what effect the total effects score equates to – for Strategic Policies in Table A6 and all Plan Policies in Table A7)

| Total Effects: Updated Scoring Formula & Range (Strategic Policies & All Plan Policies) | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|----------|-------|-----------|----------|
| FORMULA | 6 Policies*/themes Multiply by 2 previously 5 policies) | | | = | Minor | Range | Significa | nt Range |
| Negative scoring | -6 x 2 | | | = | -1 to -6 | - | -7 to -12 | _ |
| Positive scoring | 6 x 2 | | | = | 1 to 6 | + | 7 to 12 | ++ |
| Unknown, mixed, no effect | ? +/- 0 | | | = | 0 score | | | |

^{*9} policies in total, however 3 are appraised later in the 2018 SA Report, so the formula only requires 6 to be multiplied by two

3. Sustainability appraisal

 Table A2:
 Narrative Table – Consideration of reasonable alternatives for new policy SP1A

| | STRATEGIC POLICIES | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Policy name | SP1A – MANAGING GROWTH AND SUPPORTING INFRASTRUCTURE D | DELIVERY | | | | |
| Reasons for Alternatives Considered | was outlined at Paragraph 3.42, which also highlighted the potential need for In addition, 'RA06' and Paragraph 3.43 of the PAC report also suggested the subject to the availability of adequate sewerage infrastructure in order to ensumendment RA06 could be incorporated into the same policy that would add Both RA05 and RA06 of the PAC report were reiterated through required moissued to the council by the Department for Infrastructure (DfI). The council has developed two options for the strategic policy to deal with the Option 1 reflects the wording suggested in the PAC report to address RA05 policy', as it envisages a strict separation of land into specific phasing. Option 2 represents the Council's response to RA05/MOD05 and RA06/MO policy that has a similar effect to the PAC's proposed wording but with more Plan Strategy (most notably Policies EC2 and HOU1) and the need for phas Whilst the Council's initial preferred approach was to have no such policy, the | Priod within the justification and amplification text associated with Policy SP1 in Independent Examination of the draft Plan Strategy the Planning Appeals of their report the need for a "strategic policy on phasing". A form of wording in the SA/SEA to be revisited. The need for all uncommitted land to be developed during the plan period to be sure deliverability of the growth strategy. This same paragraph advised that dress the issue of phasing. The priod of the direction of the direction of the direction of the issues above: MOD05' and 'MOD06' detailed in Schedule 1 of the direction of the issues above: MOD05 and RA06/MOD06. It is referred to as the 'Prescriptive phasing of the plan period to as the "Strategic policy plus LPP". It is a strategic flexibility in-built, referring to existing provisions within the broader draft ing within the Local Policies Plan (LPP). The PAC report and subsequent direction from Dfl make it clear that such a | | | | |
| | policy is required to make the Plan Strategy sound. A 'No policy' option is therefore no longer considered to be a reasonable alternative and has been scoped out. Option 1 Option 2 | | | | | |
| Option | Prescriptive phasing policy | Strategic policy plus LPP | | | | |
| Summary | A policy based on the phasing of land so that only committed housing and employment developments (i.e. those with extant planning permission) can be delivered prior to any further infrastructure investment. New, Phase 2 sites, would then only be released for development when it is established that the necessary water and sewerage infrastructure is in place. | Strategic policy that flags up the role that infrastructure capacity has to play in delivering growth and to alert developers to the need for associated infrastructure to be available to enable development. It references the role of the LPP in managing growth through new zonings, phased as required to take account of existing commitments, projected requirements and infrastructure provision. | | | | |
| Social Effects | Significant negative effects: Providing good quality housing Minor negative effects: Access to local services and facilities | Minor positive effects: Access to local services and facilities Minor negative effects: Providing good quality housing | | | | |
| Economic Effects | Significant negative effect: Ensuring increased access to employment opportunities; Allowing Belfast to compete economically and encouraging sustainable travel Minor negative effects: Integrated transport and sustainable travel | Minor positive effect: Integrated transport and sustainable travel Minor negative effects: Ensuring increased access to employment opportunities; Allowing Belfast to compete economically and encouraging sustainable travel | | | | |

| STRATEGIC POLICIES | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Policy name | SP1A – MANAGING GROWTH AND SUPPORTING INFRASTRUCTURE DELIVERY | | | | | | |
| Environmental Effects | Significant positive effect: Protecting biodiversity, habitats and species; Promoting efficient use of water resources Minor positive: Protecting soil quality Minor negative effect: Reducing greenhouse gas emissions, air quality and transition to a low carbon economy Significant positive effect: Protecting biodiversity, habitats and species; Promoting efficient use of water resources Minor positive effect: Protecting biodiversity, habitats and species; Promoting efficient use of water resources Minor positive effect: Protecting biodiversity, habitats and species; Promoting efficient use of water resources Minor positive effect: Protecting biodiversity, habitats and species; Promoting efficient use of water resources Minor positive effect: Protecting biodiversity, habitats and species; Promoting efficient use of water resources Minor positive effect: Protecting biodiversity, habitats and species; Promoting efficient use of water resources Minor positive effect: Protecting biodiversity, habitats and species; Promoting efficient use of water resources Minor positive effect: Protecting biodiversity, habitats and species; Promoting efficient use of water resources Minor positive effect: Protecting biodiversity, habitats and species; Promoting efficient use of water resources Minor positive effect: Protecting biodiversity, habitats and species; | | | | | | |
| Reasons for Selecting Preferred Option | Option 2 has been selected as the council's preferred option. Whilst both options have a number of positive effects in relation to a number of environmental objectives, there are inherent negative implications in relation to some of the social and economic objectives of Option 1 as a result of the potential to constrain the provision of land for both new homes and new employment opportunities. The flexibility afforded by Option 2 however, and the ability to bring forward land through a plan-led approach as guided by the LPP, helps to mitigate some of these negative effects. | | | | | | |
| Mitigation | Mitigation is afforded through the development management process to ensirisk, etc. The use of indicative annual rates within Policy HOU1 is also a measurement in Belfast in the short term. | asure which has been proposed as mitigation, in light of known infrastructure | | | | | |
| magaton | The LPP process also affords the opportunity to further mitigate potential negative effects by ensuring, if required, that land is phased in an appropriate manner to take account of existing commitments, development requirements and infrastructure constraints. When allocating land as part of the LPP process, zonings can also minimise distances between resident population and their places of work. | | | | | | |
| Timescale of effect | The difficulties facing the City's sewerage infrastructure are current and ongo are not currently clear. The effects, both positive and negative, are therefore medium and into the longer-term until such time as the constraints are rectification. | e likely to be realised in the short-term and may continue through the | | | | | |

Table A3: SA assessment matrix for new policy SP1A

| | STRATEGIC POLICIES | | ES | SP1A Managing growth and supporting infrastructure delivery | | | |
|-----------|--------------------|-----|------|---|--|--|--|
| CA Ob: | | Opt | ions | Comments | | | |
| SA Obj | ectives | 1 2 | | Comments | | | |
| | 1 | 0 | 0 | There is not considered to be any effect on or relationship with this SA objective. | | | |
| | 2 | 0 | 0 | There is not considered to be any effect on or relationship with this SA objective. | | | |
| | 3 | | - | Option 1 – Limiting growth to existing consents may serve to severely reduce the provision of good quality housing. This policy has an inherent lack of flexibility and may prevent development even where there exists infrastructure capacity, leading to a significant negative impact on this SA Objective. Option 2 – As with Option 1, limiting growth may severely reduce the provision of good quality housing, but a less strict approach, | | | |
| Social | | | | relying on detailed site assessment at LPP stage is likely to mitigate this to a certain extent, reducing the assessment to a minor negative. | | | |
| 37 | 4 | 0 | 0 | There is not considered to be any effect on or relationship with this SA objective. | | | |
| | 5 | 0 | 0 | There is not considered to be any effect on or relationship with this SA objective. | | | |
| | 6 | - | + | Option 1 – Extant consents are less likely than a plan-led approach to have regard to existing or future service provision, so this strict policy approach risks a disconnect between the location of new housing and local services and facilities needed to support them. | | | |
| | | | | Option 2 – A plan-led approach to delivering new residential development through the LPP will allow local infrastructure services and facilities to be effectively planned alongside. | | | |
| Economic | 7 | _ | - | Option 1 – Limiting growth to existing consents may serve to severely reduce the provision of new employment opportunities. This policy has an inherent lack of flexibility and may prevent development even where there exists infrastructure capacity. It is also more likely than a plan-led approach to risk a disconnect between the location of homes and job opportunities. It is therefore assessed to have a significant negative impact on this SA Objective. | | | |
| Ecc | | | | Option 2 – As with Option 1, limiting growth may severely reduce the provision of employment floorspace, but a less strict approach, relying on detailed site assessment at LPP stage is likely to mitigate this to a certain extent, reducing the assessment to a minor negative. | | | |

| | STRATEGIC POLICIES | | ES | SP1A Managing growth and supporting infrastructure delivery | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|--------------------|---------|----|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|--|
| SA Obj | ootivos | Options | | Comments | | | | | | | | |
| SA ODJ | ectives | 1 | 2 | Comments | | | | | | | | |
| | 8 | | | Option 1 – Limiting growth to existing consents may serve to severely reduce the provision of new opportunities for economic development. This policy has an inherent lack of flexibility and may prevent development even where there exists infrastructure capacity, leading to a significant negative impact on this SA Objective. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Option 2 – As with Option 1, limiting growth may severely reduce the provision of new opportunities for economic development, but a less strict approach, is likely to mitigate this to a certain extent, reducing the assessment to a minor negative. | | | | | | | | |
| | 9 | _ | + | Option 1 – Extant consents are less likely than a plan-led approach to have regard to existing or future service provision, so this strict policy approach risks a disconnect between the location of homes and jobs and services, undermining opportunities for sustainable travel. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Option 2 – A plan-led approach to delivering new homes and employment floorspace through the LPP will allow local better spatial synergy, helping support the plan's objectives to promote integrated and sustainable travel. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Both options will ensure that the appropriate wastewater treatment and sewerage infrastructure is in place to enable development without the risk of untreated wastewater damaging any biodiversity habitats, protected habitats or species. | | | | | | | | |
| | 40 | | | The stricter policy approach of Option 1 seeks to introduce a blanket approach to the restriction of development at an early stage. | | | | | | | | |
| | 10 | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | T. | T. | T. | ** | Option 2 , through a strategic policy approach, seeks to provide scope for development on an ongoing basis based on the individual circumstances and the ability to address the obligations in respect of appropriate infrastructure alongside the required safeguards. This is likely to include those provisions which will support the protection and enhancement of biodiversity, including protected habitats and species. |
| ental | 11 | • | + | Both options will ensure that the appropriate wastewater treatment and sewerage infrastructure is in place to enable development without the risk of untreated wastewater leaching into soil or watercourses. This is only likely to be a minor positive effect in both cases. | | | | | | | | |
| Environmental | 12 | 0 | 0 | There is not considered to be any effect on or relationship with this SA objective. | | | | | | | | |
| ш | 13 | 0 | 0 | There is not considered to be any effect on or relationship with this SA objective. | | | | | | | | |
| | 14 | 0 | 0 | There is not considered to be any effect on or relationship with this SA objective. | | | | | | | | |
| | 15 | 0 | 0 | There is not considered to be any effect on or relationship with this SA objective. | | | | | | | | |

| | STRATEGIC POLICIES | | ES | SP1A Managing growth and supporting infrastructure delivery | | | |
|----------|--------------------|-----|------|---|--|--|--|
| CA Ob: | | Opt | ions | Community | | | |
| SA Obj | ectives | 1 | 2 | - Comments | | | |
| | 16 | ++ | ++ | Both options will ensure that the appropriate wastewater treatment and sewerage infrastructure is in place to prior to development being approved as part of the development management process. | | | |
| | 17 | - | + | Option 1 – As a strict policy approach risks a disconnect between the location of homes and jobs and services, it could serve to undermine opportunities for sustainable travel options, with associated negative effects on pollution and air quality. Option 2 – A plan-led approach to delivering new homes and employment floorspace through the LPP will allow local better spatial synergy, helping support the plan's objectives to promote integrated and sustainable travel, which could in turn have a minor positive effect by reducing pollution and air quality. | | | |
| | 18 | - | + | Option 1 – As with SA9, extant consents may have little regard to existing or future service provision, so a strict policy approach risks a disconnect between the location of homes and jobs and services. This could undermine opportunities for sustainable travel and greater reliance on longer journeys and private car use, with consequent implications for greenhouse gas emissions. Option 2 – As with SA9, a plan-led approach to delivering new homes and employment floorspace through the LPP will allow local better spatial synergy, helping support the plan's objectives to promote integrated and sustainable travel, with consequential positive implications for reducing greenhouse gases and transitioning to a low carbon economy. | | | |
| | 19 | 0 | 0 | There is not considered to be any effect on or relationship with this SA objective. | | | |
| Sum | marv _ | Opt | ions | Comments | | | |
| - Guilli | mai y | 1 | 2 | Comments | | | |
| Total | scores | - 5 | 6 | Option 1 scores -5, which equates to a minor negative total score Option 2 scores 6, which equates to a minor positive total score This demonstrates that Option 2, as the council's preferred option, would perform better against the SA objectives. | | | |

 Table A4:
 Updated Total Strategic Policy scores, including new policy SP1A

| ; | STRATEGY → | | | | STR | ATEGIC POLIC | CIES | | | |
|--------------------|--------------------|-----|------|--------|----------------------------------|--------------|------|--|-----|----------------------------------|
| | POLICY → | SP1 | SP1A | SP2 | SP3 | SP4 | SP5 | SP6 | SP7 | SP8 |
| | SA1 | ++ | 0 | + | | + | ++ | | ++ | |
| | SA2 | + | 0 | + | | + | ++ | | ++ | |
| Social | SA3 | + | - | + | | ++ | + | | + | |
| Soc | SA4 | 0 | 0 | + | | + | + | | 0 | |
| | SA5 | 0 | 0 | + | | ? | 0 | m | 0 | |
| | SA6 | ++ | + | + | | ? | + | Appraised under draft Policies ENV2 & ENV3 | + | |
| Social Scoring | | 6 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 7 | м | 6 | <u>70</u> |
| JE S | SA7 | ++ | - | + | Ĭ | ? | + | ₹ | + | . <u>.</u> . |
| Economic | SA8 | + | - | + | olic | + | + | ω ω | ++ | olic |
| ы | SA9 | + | + | + | ≝ - | ? | + | icie | ++ | Appraised under draft Policy GB1 |
| E | conomic Scoring | 4 | -1 | 3 | dra | 1 | 3 | Pol | 5 | dra |
| | SA10 | - | ++ | + | der | 0 | + | raft | 0 | ıder |
| | SA11 | + | + | + | Appraised under draft Policy HC1 | 0 | 0 | er d | 0 | in p |
| | SA12 | ++ | 0 | + | ise | 0 | + | pur | + | isec |
| ntal | SA13 | + | 0 | + | opra | 0 | + | ed 1 | 0 | opra |
| Environmental | SA14 | +/- | 0 | + | ¥ | 0 | + | rais | 0 | Ā |
| /iror | SA15 | + | 0 | - | | 0 | 0 | дд√ | 0 | |
| Ë | SA16 | +/- | ++ | +/- | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | |
| | SA17 | + | + | + | | 0 | + | | ++ | |
| | SA18 | + | + | + | | 0 | + | | ++ | |
| | SA19 | +/- | 0 | + | | 0 | + | | ++ | |
| Env | ironmental Scoring | 6 | 7 | 7 | | 0 | 7 | | 7 | |
| | | | | = - | | | | | | |
| | | SP1 | SP1A | SP2 | SP3 | SP4 | SP5 | SP6 | SP7 | SP8 |
| То | otal Policy Score | 16 | 6 | 16 | | 6 | 17 | | 18 | |
| Total Policy Score | | + | + | + | | + | + | | + | |

Impact: The total policy score for Policy SP1A is a minor positive

 Table A5:
 Updated All Policy Scores table, including new policy SP1A

| | | | | | | | | | | | AL | .L P | OLI | CY | SCC | DRE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|-----------|------|------|------------|------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| Strategic Policies | SP1 | SP1A | SP2 | SP3 (HC1) | SP4 | SP5 | SP6 & ENV3 | SP7 | SP8 (GB1) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Spatial Development | SD1 | SD2 | SD3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Shaping a Liveable Place | HOU1 | HOU2 | нопз | HOU4 | HOUS | HOUG | HOU7 | HOU8 | бПОН | HOU10 | HOU11 | HOU12 | HOU13 | DES1 | DES2 | DES3 | DES4 | RD1 | RD2 | RD3 | BH1 | ВН2 | ВНЗ | BH4 | ВН5 | ВН6 | CGR1 | CGR2 | НС1 | CI1 | CI2 |
| Creating a Vibrant Economy | EC1 | EC2 | EC3 | EC4 | EC5 | EC6 | EC7 | RET1 | RET2 | RET3 | RET4 | RET5 | RET6 | CC1 | TLC1 | TLC2 | TLC3 | TLC4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Building a Smart Connected Resilient Place | ITU1 | ITU2 | ITU3 | ITU3 | M1 | W2 | W3 | W4 | W5 | M1 | TRAN1 | TRAN2 | TRAN3 | TRAN4 | TRANS | TRAN6 | TRAN7 | TRAN8 | TRAN9 | TRAN10 | TRAN11 | TRAN12 | ENV1 | ENV2 | ENN3 | ENV4 | ENV5 | | | | |
| Promoting a Green & Active Place | GB1 | 081 | 082 | 083 | 084 | 085 | 980 | 087 | Ħ | TRE1 | LC1 | LC2 | гсз | PC4 | DC1 | DC2 | DC3 | DC4 | DC5 | DC6 | DC7 | DC8 | DC9 | DC10 | DC11 | DC12 | DC13 | | | | |

Impact: The total scoring for all policies in the plan has been updated to include SP1A, which introduces an additional positive impact

Table A6: Updated Total Effects of the Strategic Policies on the SA Objectives, including new policy SP1A

| STRATEGY | POLICY | | | So | cial | | | E | conom | ic | | | | | Environ | mental | | | | |
|--|--------|----------------------------------|--|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|-------|-----|-----------|----------|-----------|------|---------|--------|------|------|------|------|
| SIRAILGI | POLICI | SA1 | SA2 | SA3 | SA4 | SA5 | SA6 | SA7 | SA8 | SA9 | SA10 | SA11 | SA12 | SA13 | SA14 | SA15 | SA16 | SA17 | SA18 | SA19 |
| | SP1 | ++ | + | + | 0 | 0 | ++ | ++ | + | + | - | + | ++ | + | +/- | + | +/- | + | + | +/- |
| | SP1A | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | + | - | - | + | ++ | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ++ | + | + | 0 |
| CIES | SP2 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | - | +/- | + | + | + |
| OLIG | SP3 | Appraised under draft Policy HC1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SIC P | SP4 | + | + | ++ | + | ? | ? | ? | + | ? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ATE | SP5 | ++ | ++ | + | + | 0 | + | + | + | + | + | 0 | + | + | + | 0 | 0 | + | + | + |
| STR | SP6 | | Appraised under draft Policies ENV2 & ENV3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | SP7 | ++ | ++ | + | 0 | 0 | + | + | ++ | ++ | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| SA Obje Previous Tot (scoring form on 5 police) Updated Tot (scoring form form form form form form form form | SP8 | | | | | | | | | Арр | raised ur | nder dra | ft Policy | GB1 | | | | | | |
| SA Obje | ctive | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9* | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| Previous Tot | | 8 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5* | 1 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 4 |
| (scoring form on 5 poli | | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | + | + | + | ++ | +* | + | + | + | + | + | 0 | 0 | + | + | + |
| Updated Total | | 8 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 4 |
| (scoring form on 6 poli | | ++ | ++ | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 0 | + | + | + | + |

Impact: The introduction of SP1A has affected ten individual scores.

Of these, based on the updated scoring formula, the final total effects have now changed for three SA Objectives:

The total positive effects of the Strategic Policies on SA Objectives 3 & 8 have **decreased from significant positive to minor positive** (yellow boxes)

The total effects of the Strategic Policies on SA Objective 16 have increased from neutral to minor positive (green boxes)

^{*} Mistake from 2018 SA Report corrected for SA Objective 9 – previously shown total effects score of 'o' & 'o' corrected to '5' & '+'. Policy SP1A has no impact on this

^{*} This correction has no impact on the final 'All Policy' scores. The Total Effects of the LDP table has been updated to reflect the same correction

 Table A7:
 Updated Total Effects of the LDP, including new policy SP1A

Total Effects of the LDP

| | SA Sustainability Objectives → | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|----|----|------|---|----|----|------|-----|----|----|----|----|-------|------|-----|----|----|----|--|--|
| LDP ▼ | | | SO | CIAL | | | EC | ONON | /IC | | | | EN | VIRON | MENT | ΓAL | L | | | | |
| LDP V | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9* | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | | |
| Strategic Policies | ++ | ++ | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 0 | + | + | + | + | | |
| Spatial Development | ++ | + | + | + | + | ++ | + | + | 0 | + | + | + | + | + | - | 0 | + | + | + | | |
| Shaping a Liveable Place | + | ++ | ++ | + | + | + | + | ++ | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | |
| Creating a Vibrant Economy | ++ | ++ | + | + | + | + | ++ | ++ | + | + | + | + | + | + | - | + | + | + | + | | |
| Building a Smart Connected Resilient Place | + | ++ | + | + | + | + | + | ++ | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | |
| Promoting a Green & Active Place | ++ | + | ++ | + | 0 | + | + | ++ | + | ** | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | 0 | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | | |
| PREVIOUS TOTAL PLAN EFFECTS | 10 | 10 | 9 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 11 | 5* | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| UPDATED TOTAL PLAN EFFECTS | 10 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 10 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |

Impact: The introduction of SP1A has impacted the total effects of three Strategic Policies, with two decreasing and one increasing, however when these are equated into effect, there is no impact on the 'total effect' of the plan as a whole on any SA Objective.

^{*} Previous total effects of Strategic Policies against SA Objective 9 updated to correct typing error from 2018 SA Report – '0' score now corrected to '5'

Belfast Planning Service

Belfast City Council Cecil Ward Building 4-10 Linenhall Street Belfast BT2 8BP

- www.belfastcity.gov.uk/LDP
- planning@belfastcity.gov.uk
- **②** 028 9050 0510
- @belfastcc
- **f** @belfastcitycouncil
- in Belfast City Council

